# **Commentary Thinking differently about science and religion**

aintaining the "alternative fact" that science and religion, and in particular Christianity, are in conflict is hurting science. Over the past year, three occasions have left me with strong visual memories and deep impressions that point towards a better approach.

The first, held at St John's College of Durham University in the UK, was a debate on the sensitive topic of fracking shale-oil recovery by hydraulic fracturing. I have witnessed several such discussions, both live and broadcast, and they rarely succeed in anything except escalating entrenched positions and increasing misinformation and fear; few participants bother to treat the science with respect.

This gathering was different. Strongly opposing views were expressed, but their proponents listened to each other. Everyone was keen to grasp both the knowns and the uncertainties of the geological science and technology. Social science and geophysics both drew sustained civil dialog. The notion of different priorities was understood — and some people actually *changed* their views.

The second occasion was some reading I have been doing for a book on the role of creativity and imagination in science. Research for one chapter had led me to connections between the explosion of new science in the 17th century and ideas from the same period expressed in literature, art, and theology. Those ideas included a discussion of the nature of God to a depth unseen since the fourthcentury ecumenical councils. One treatise impressed me hugely with its author's detailed knowledge of textual analysis, variants in New Testament manuscripts, and nuances of Greek; it would rival any current scholarship. Furthermore, it evidenced a scientific logic and a perception of the revolutions in natural philosophy that is very rare in theological writing today.

A one-act play I attended in my hometown of York in the UK supplied



**TOMB OF THE VENERABLE BEDE**, Durham Cathedral, UK. Bede (AD 673–735) was an English monk and author of *De Natura Rerum* (*On the Nature of Things*), a book motivated by its author's conviction that it is important for the church to teach the workings of nature, so that people are less frightened by them.

the third occasion. I'd heard that a respected national theater company had long wanted to create a work based on the ancient book of Job. I admit to a personal love for that ancient poem. No one really knows where it came from, but for my money it contains the most sublime articulation of the innate curiosity into nature that still drives science today but that has clearly deep human roots. Its

10 PHYSICS TODAY | FEBRUARY 2018

probing questions seek answers to where hail, lightning, and clouds come from; why stars can be clustered together; how birds navigate huge distances; how the laws of the heavens can be applied to Earth; and so on.

Common across the three occasions is the theme of surprisingly deep and constructive mutual engagement of science and religious belief. The conference on shale-gas recovery was between academic Earth scientists and a few dozen senior church leaders, including bishops of the Church of England. The author of the impressive New Testament scholarship was Isaac Newton. And the play that so impressed me, staged by the Riding Lights Theatre Company in the elegant renaissance church of St Michael le Belfrey in York, featured a 20th-century Job as a research physicist. After the performance a panel of scientists discussed how their faith supports their scientific research. Anyone who has not read beyond the superficial yet ubiquitous stories of conflict between science and religion that receive so much airtime today would be surprised to see such deep entanglements of scientific and religious thinking, from the ancient past of the book of Job to current scientifically informed political decision making.

Between the ancient and the contemporary lies the history of early modern science. There, too, the public sphere today seems dominated by a determined program of misinformation. Newton himself is testimony to the deep formative role of Christian theology in the rise of experimental and mathematical sciences. Far from being a sort of secular triumph over centuries of dogmatic obscurantism, the writings of early modern scientists such as Newton and Robert Boyle make it clear that they were motivated by the theological philosophy of Francis Bacon.

For Bacon, science became the gift by which humankind restores an original knowledge of nature, lost as a consequence of rejection of God. The truth that faith conveyed direct motivation and influence for many great scientists can be uncomfortable. Historian of science and biographer Geoffrey Cantor, author of *Michael Faraday: Sandemanian and Scientist*—*a Study of Science and Religion in the Nineteenth Century* (1991), still receives "hate mail" from readers incensed at the suggestion that such a scientific mind

## **NEW LT-SPM & XPS Instruments** for Surface Analysis

#### POLAR

Bath Cryostat UHV SPM

STM, qPlus<sup>®</sup>-AFM & Spectroscopy

Integrated TRIBUS Head

Helium Holding Time: >200h

Minimium Temp: T<sub>MIN</sub><5K

Superconducting Magnet for  $B_z = \pm 5T$ 

**Optical Access** 



### PULSE

For Dynamic XPS

Multi Peak Monitoring with Millisecond Time-resolution Survey Spectra within Seconds XPS, UPS, AES, ISS Ultra-fast XPS >3 Mcps High Sensitivity ASPECT Analyser Snapshot Narrow Spectrum NEO Control Suite



Come and talk to us at: APS, Los Angeles, CA, USA - **booth 103** DPG, TU Berlin, Germany - **booth 1** 

Designed for Unrivalled Performance

sales@mantis-sigma.com www.sigma-surface-science.com

**SIGMA** Surface Science



#### **READERS' FORUM**

might also have been a Christian one.

We are even learning to readjust our schoolbook picture of the Middle Ages as a period of intellectual stagnation, generally repressive of science. History is far more interesting. The scientific enlightenment that gave birth to the Copernican Revolution, the Royal Society of London, the universal theory of gravitation, and the telescope and microscope did not, of course, arise from nowhere. The long fuse for that intellectual fireworks display was lit in 12th-century Europe through the movement to translate Aristotle's scientific texts. They were mostly lost to the West since late antiquity but were preserved and developed by brilliant Islamic scholars in Baghdad, the Levant, and Spain.

Arab natural philosophers Al-Kindi, Averroës, Alhazen, and Avicenna ought to be far better known as beacons in the long history of science; they, too, saw their task of comprehending the cosmos as God-given. The consequent scientific awakening in the West saw the new learning about the cosmos not as conflictual with the Bible but as a "second book" to be read alongside it.

The scholars' work allowed 13thcentury English thinkers Robert Grosseteste, Roger Bacon, and others to develop theories of light, color, and motion. Their work led, for example, to the first complete theory of the rainbow at the level of geometric optics, from the laboratory of Theodoric of Freiberg around 1310, and to the first mathematical articulation of accelerated motion by Nicole Oresme of Paris in mid-century. Small wonder that Nicolaus Copernicus saw his astronomical work as a form of worship and that Galileo Galilei viewed his as reading God's second book.

Maintaining the view that science and religion are in conflict does no one any favors and is hurting science. The damage comes not only through a warped transmission of history but also because it suggests to religious communities that science is a threat to them rather than an enterprise they can celebrate and support. The bishops' fracking conference is just one example of how the quality of social support of and discussion around science can be raised once churches get involved. After all, a community with a commitment to core values of truth and a banishment of fear might well offer the clarity and calm needed in a public de-

12 PHYSICS TODAY | FEBRUARY 2018

bate currently marked by far too much falsehood and fear.

Equally tragic is that in families with a faith tradition, even very young children may receive the idea that science is not for them or that it somehow threatens their community. The truth is that throughout most of history, scientific investigation has gone hand in hand with a commitment to theism, at least in the three Abrahamic faiths. It is, sadly, possible to invent conflict where none needs to be.

The "literal" reading of texts such as Genesis-as if they were scientific documents rather than part of a story in which we inquire about the universe - is a 20thcentury aberration away from orthodox Christianity. Conversely, misrepresenting faith as mindless adherence to beliefs in the face of evidence to the contrary needs to give way to a more thoughtful understanding. The term can describe painstaking engagement with the world through the true stories we are part of. Reflecting the vital presence of what we might call "reasoned hope," faith is not so very far from descriptions of the experience of doing science.

Driving an unhistorical and unrealistic wedge between science and religion has got to stop. It leads, in part, to the optionalism that we see in some public and political attitudes toward science, from climate change to vaccination. It damages the educational experience of our children, and it impoverishes our understanding of our own science's historical context. Human beings live not only in a physical world but within historical narratives that give us values, purpose, and identity. Science sits on the branches and draws from the sap of many of those stories whose roots are anchored in the great themes of creation, redemption, and renewal that course through our religious traditions and endow us with humanity. We are still looking for answers to some of the questions God asks of the luckless Job:

Have you comprehended the vast expanses of the Earth? . . .What is the way to the place where lightning is dispersed . . . ?Can you bind the beautiful Pleiades?

#### Tom McLeish

(t.c.b.mcleish@durham.ac.uk) Durham University Durham, UK